



<https://doi.org/10.30546/670832.2026.013.1043>

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE–BASED MULTIMODAL ADHD DIAGNOSIS USING MACHINE LEARNING AND DEEP LEARNING

Zaman Karimov Mehman

zaman.kerimov31@gmail.com

Azərbaycan Texniki Universiteti

Abstract. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is one of the most prevalent neurodevelopmental disorders among children and adolescents, yet it remains difficult to diagnose accurately and early. Traditional diagnostic methods rely heavily on behavioral observations, clinical interviews, and rating scales completed by parents and teachers, which are often subjective and prone to delay. In recent years, artificial intelligence — particularly machine learning and deep learning — has emerged as a promising approach to support more objective and timely ADHD diagnosis. This review examines how AI-based multimodal methods, combining data from neuroimaging, EEG signals, behavioral assessments, and cognitive tests, have been applied to detect ADHD symptoms in children. The findings suggest that multimodal approaches generally outperform single-modality models, with methods such as Random Forest, Convolutional Neural Networks, and LSTM networks showing strong classification results. However, significant challenges remain, including small and imbalanced datasets, limited model interpretability, and a lack of longitudinal studies targeting young children. This review identifies these gaps and discusses directions for future research aimed at developing clinically reliable and explainable AI diagnostic tools.

Keywords: ADHD, artificial intelligence, machine learning, deep learning, multimodal diagnosis, children, early detection, neuroimaging, EEG

Introduction. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is one of the most common neurodevelopmental disorders observed in children and adolescents [1]. It affects a person's ability to maintain attention, control impulsive behavior, and regulate activity levels. ADHD usually begins in childhood and may continue into adolescence and adulthood if not diagnosed and treated properly, making early identification a clinical priority.

The growing global prevalence of ADHD has made it an important public health concern. Available epidemiological data consistently report prevalence rates of approximately 5–7% among school-age children worldwide [7]. Nationally, data from the State Statistical Committee of the Republic of Azerbaijan show a notable rise in registered ADHD cases among children aged 0–18, increasing from 2,748 in 2005 to 5,200 in 2024 [2]. This upward trend reflects both a genuine increase in the condition and improving awareness and diagnostic practices.

Current diagnostic methods rely mainly on behavioral observations, structured clinical interviews, and standardized rating scales completed by parents and teachers. While these methods are well established, they are inherently subjective, time-consuming, and prone to inter-rater variability, which can lead to delayed or inaccurate diagnoses [8]. These limitations have motivated researchers to explore artificial intelligence techniques — particularly machine learning and deep learning — as tools to support more objective and consistent ADHD identification.



This review focuses specifically on multimodal AI-based approaches, which integrate different types of data such as neuroimaging, electroencephalography (EEG), behavioral assessments, and cognitive tests. The goal is to provide a comprehensive overview of what has been achieved in this field, identify the most effective methods, and highlight the gaps that need to be addressed before these tools can be used in real clinical settings.

Literature review. In recent years, a growing number of studies have applied artificial intelligence to support ADHD diagnosis. The following section reviews and analyzes selected studies that represent the current state of machine learning and deep learning approaches in this area.

Md. Maniruzzaman et al. [3] proposed a machine learning framework to predict ADHD in children using behavioral data drawn from a large national survey involving 45,779 children aged 3–17. The researchers first identified important risk factors using logistic regression, then evaluated several classifiers. Among all models tested, Random Forest achieved the best performance, reaching 85.5% accuracy. This study demonstrates that behavioral survey data, when combined with appropriate ML methods, can serve as an accessible and effective tool for early ADHD screening at a population level.

Elham Ghasemi et al. [4] applied machine learning to EEG-based Event-Related Potentials (ERP) recorded from ADHD patients and healthy controls. The researchers extracted frequency-domain features and tested several classifiers, including Support Vector Machines and ensemble methods. The combination of complementary feature sets yielded exceptional accuracy of up to 99.85%, with near-perfect ROC scores. This work highlights the high diagnostic potential of EEG-based neurophysiological signals when processed with well-designed feature extraction and classification pipelines.

Alessandro Froli et al. [5] published a narrative review examining the role of artificial intelligence in improving both ADHD diagnosis and treatment personalization. The study discussed AI-supported cognitive training systems that leverage virtual reality, augmented reality, behavioral analysis, and neurophysiological data. The authors emphasized the importance of scientific validation, data privacy, and accessibility as critical factors for the real-world adoption of AI-based ADHD tools. Their work underlines that AI is not only relevant for diagnosis but also for ongoing treatment monitoring and personalization.

B. R. Rohini and Kamal Shoaib [6] reviewed the application of deep learning and big data analytics in ADHD diagnosis. The authors argued that these technologies are capable of identifying complex behavioral patterns and supporting early prediction of ADHD before full symptom onset. They emphasized that large and diverse datasets, when processed through deep learning architectures, can substantially improve diagnostic accuracy compared to traditional methods. Their review also highlighted the potential of these approaches in handling the heterogeneity of ADHD presentations across different populations.

Taken together, these studies indicate a clear trend toward data-driven and AI-assisted ADHD diagnosis. However, most existing works are still limited to a single data modality, and comprehensive multimodal approaches remain underexplored in the pediatric context.

Table 1: Summary of Reviewed AI-Based ADHD Diagnostic Studies



Author & Year	Dataset	Data Type	Method	Sample Size	Accuracy
Maniruzzaman et al. (2022)	US National Survey	Behavioral / Questionnaire	Random Forest, SVM, LR	45,779 children	85.5%
Ghasemi et al. (2022)	ERP recordings	EEG / Event-Related Potentials	SVM, KNN, Ensemble	Patients + controls	99.85%
Frolli et al. (2023)	Multiple clinical datasets	Behavioral, VR, Neurophysiological	CNN, ML ensemble	Mixed	Not reported
Rohini & Shoaib (2024)	Big data repositories	Behavioral / Big Data	Deep Learning, Big Data Analytics	Large-scale	Not reported
ADHD-200 Consortium (2012)	ADHD-200	fMRI (resting-state)	SVM, Random Forest	973 subjects	~62–65%
Cortese et al. (2021)	Meta-analysis (126 studies)	fMRI (resting-state)	Meta-analytic ML	Large-scale	Varies by study

LR = Logistic Regression; SVM = Support Vector Machine; KNN = K-Nearest Neighbors; CNN = Convolutional Neural Network; VR = Virtual Reality

Methodology. Review Methodology and Search Strategy. To ensure the transparency and scientific rigor of this review, a structured literature search was conducted across several major academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, PubMed, IEEE Xplore, and Google Scholar. The search was carried out using a combination of keywords such as “ADHD diagnosis”, “machine learning ADHD”, “deep learning ADHD”, “multimodal ADHD”, “ADHD neuroimaging”, “ADHD EEG classification”, and “AI neurodevelopmental disorders children”. The search was limited to studies published between 2015 and 2024 in order to capture the most relevant and recent developments in the field.

Studies were included if they (1) focused on ADHD diagnosis or symptom detection, (2) applied at least one machine learning or deep learning method, (3) used quantitative performance metrics such as accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, or AUC, and (4) were published in peer-reviewed journals or reputable conference proceedings. Studies were excluded if they were purely theoretical without experimental validation, used adult-only samples without reference to developmental contexts, or were not available in English. After applying these criteria, the most representative and methodologically sound studies were selected for detailed review and analysis.

Research Objectives. The main goal of this review is to examine how artificial intelligence — specifically machine learning and deep learning — has been applied to the diagnosis of ADHD in children, and to assess how far the field has progressed toward building clinically usable tools. With that aim in mind, this review pursues several interconnected objectives.



The first is to identify and categorize the types of data used in AI-based ADHD research, including neuroimaging (fMRI, MRI), EEG signals, eye-tracking data, behavioral tests, and clinical questionnaires, in order to understand what each modality contributes to diagnostic accuracy. Alongside this, the review compares the performance of different ML and DL algorithms — such as Support Vector Machines, Random Forests, Convolutional Neural Networks, and LSTM networks — across various datasets and experimental settings. The review also examines multimodal fusion strategies and evaluates whether combining multiple data sources genuinely improves diagnosis compared to single-modality approaches. Finally, it identifies the key limitations and gaps in the current literature and proposes directions for future research, particularly for developing AI tools suitable for early symptom detection in children aged 0–18.

Problem Statement. ADHD is one of the most common neurodevelopmental disorders in children, yet it remains difficult to diagnose accurately — especially at an early age [7]. The standard diagnostic process currently depends on clinical interviews, behavioral rating scales completed by parents and teachers, and professional observations during appointments [8]. While these procedures are clinically valuable, they come with real limitations. They depend heavily on the judgment of the evaluating clinician, can be influenced by cultural background, and may produce inconsistent results due to the subjective nature of the information collected. As a result, many children are either misdiagnosed or go unidentified for years.

A further complication is that ADHD presents differently across individuals and overlaps substantially with other conditions such as Autism Spectrum Disorder, anxiety disorders, and learning disabilities [9]. This phenotypic heterogeneity makes confident diagnosis particularly challenging in young children. In many countries, formal diagnosis does not happen until a child is seven, eight, or even nine years old, meaning that critical windows for early behavioral and cognitive intervention are regularly missed.

Despite the growing interest in AI-based solutions, several unresolved problems continue to limit their practical impact. First, most studies rely on a single data modality — typically fMRI or EEG — and struggle to generalize beyond the dataset they were trained on, because no single data source captures the full complexity of ADHD. Second, publicly available datasets such as the ADHD-200 [10] are relatively small and demographically limited, which is a serious constraint for deep learning models that require large amounts of training data. Third, the most accurate AI models are often black boxes that cannot explain their decisions in a way that clinicians can trust or act upon [11]. Fourth, almost all existing studies are cross-sectional, meaning they classify children at a single time point rather than tracking symptom development over time — which is precisely what early detection requires [12].

These problems frame the central question of this review: how well can current AI-based multimodal methods detect early signs of ADHD in children, and what methodological advances are still needed before these tools can be trusted in real clinical practice?

Multimodal approaches to adhd diagnosis. The term multimodal diagnosis refers to the integration of two or more distinct types of data — for example, combining brain imaging with behavioral assessments, or EEG signals with cognitive test results — in order to build a more complete and reliable picture of a patient's condition. In the context of ADHD, multimodal approaches are particularly relevant because the disorder affects multiple domains of



functioning simultaneously, including attention, motor control, emotional regulation, and social behavior. No single biomarker or data source has been shown to fully capture this complexity, which is why researchers have increasingly turned to multimodal frameworks.

Studies that have combined neuroimaging data — such as resting-state fMRI or structural MRI — with behavioral or cognitive measures have generally reported higher classification accuracy and better generalizability than studies using only one of these modalities. For instance, when fMRI-derived functional connectivity features are combined with scores from attention and executive function tests, models tend to capture both the neural and behavioral dimensions of ADHD in a way that reflects the clinical reality more closely.

EEG-based multimodal models represent another promising direction. EEG provides high temporal resolution information about brain activity, and when combined with eye-tracking data or continuous performance task (CPT) results, it allows models to link neural signals with behavioral manifestations of inattention and impulsivity in real time. Several studies have demonstrated that this kind of data fusion leads to more robust classifiers compared to EEG-only approaches.

From a technical standpoint, multimodal fusion can be implemented at different stages of the modeling pipeline. Early fusion combines raw features from different modalities before training. Late fusion trains separate models for each modality and then combines their outputs. Intermediate fusion integrates learned representations at deeper layers of a neural network. Each approach has its advantages: early fusion preserves raw cross-modal correlations, while late and intermediate fusion allow for more flexible and modality-specific learning. Recent work using deep learning architectures such as multi-input CNNs and cross-attention Transformers has shown strong potential for intermediate and late fusion in ADHD classification tasks.

Despite these advances, multimodal ADHD research still faces significant challenges. Collecting synchronized data from multiple modalities is logistically complex and expensive, particularly when working with children. Missing modalities are common in real clinical settings, and most current models cannot handle incomplete data gracefully. Additionally, the pediatric-specific literature on multimodal ADHD diagnosis remains limited, with many studies being conducted on mixed-age or adult populations that may not generalize to younger children.

Explainable artificial intelligence in adhd diagnosis. One of the most significant barriers to the clinical adoption of AI-based diagnostic tools is interpretability. A model that achieves high accuracy in a research setting is only clinically useful if the clinician can understand why it made a particular decision. In medical contexts, a black-box prediction — however accurate — cannot replace or meaningfully support clinical judgment if the underlying reasoning is hidden [11]. This concern is especially relevant in ADHD diagnosis, where the decision to label a child as having a neurodevelopmental disorder carries significant consequences for the child, the family, and educational planning.

Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) refers to a family of methods designed to make AI model decisions more transparent and interpretable. Among the most widely used techniques in medical AI research is SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations), which assigns an importance score to each input feature for every individual prediction [see Lundberg & Lee, 2017]. SHAP values allow researchers and clinicians to see which features — for example, specific EEG



frequency bands or behavioral questionnaire responses — contributed most to a model classifying a child as ADHD-positive or negative.

Grad-CAM (Gradient-weighted Class Activation Mapping) is another widely used XAI technique, particularly in studies that use convolutional neural networks to analyze neuroimaging data [see Selvaraju et al., 2017]. Grad-CAM produces visual heatmaps that highlight the regions of a brain scan that most influenced the model's prediction. In the context of ADHD research, this can help neuroscientists verify whether the model is focusing on neurologically meaningful regions, such as the prefrontal cortex or basal ganglia, which are known to be implicated in attention and impulse control.

LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations) offers a complementary approach by approximating the behavior of a complex model locally around a specific prediction using a simpler, interpretable model. This makes it suitable for explaining individual patient predictions in clinical practice, even when the underlying classifier is highly complex.

Despite the availability of these tools, their integration into ADHD-specific AI research remains limited. Most published studies focus on maximizing classification accuracy and report XAI results only as secondary observations, if at all. For these methods to genuinely support clinical decision-making, future work needs to move beyond accuracy benchmarks and evaluate whether XAI outputs are actually useful and trustworthy from a clinician's perspective.

Challenges and future directions. Despite the progress made in AI-based ADHD diagnosis, the field continues to face a number of serious challenges that must be addressed before these tools can transition from research environments into clinical practice.

Data scarcity and imbalance. The most widely used public ADHD dataset, the ADHD-200 consortium dataset [10], contains fewer than 1,000 subjects and suffers from demographic imbalances. Deep learning models require substantially larger and more representative samples to learn robust and generalizable features. This problem is even more pronounced when the focus is specifically on young children, where data collection is more ethically sensitive and practically demanding. Future efforts should prioritize the creation of large, diverse, and well-annotated pediatric ADHD datasets, potentially through federated learning approaches that enable multi-site collaboration without requiring raw data sharing.

Lack of longitudinal studies. Almost all existing AI studies on ADHD are cross-sectional in nature, meaning they classify individuals at a single time point. Early detection of ADHD, however, requires understanding how symptoms emerge and evolve over time. Longitudinal designs that follow children from infancy or preschool age through middle childhood would allow models to identify early precursor patterns before diagnostic criteria are fully met. Building such datasets and the time-aware models needed to analyze them represents one of the most important future directions in this field [12].

Generalizability across sites and populations. Many AI models for ADHD diagnosis are trained and tested on data from a single institution or country, which limits their applicability to other clinical contexts. Differences in data acquisition protocols, scanner hardware, demographic characteristics, and clinical practices can substantially affect model performance. Rigorous external validation and cross-site testing should become standard practice in this field.

Clinical interpretability. As discussed in Section 5, the majority of high-performing AI models remain black boxes. Improving the interpretability of these models is not merely a



technical issue — it is a prerequisite for clinical trust and regulatory approval. Future research should integrate XAI methods from the outset of model development rather than applying them as afterthoughts.

Ethical and regulatory considerations. Working with pediatric populations introduces ethical responsibilities around informed consent, data privacy, and the potential consequences of misclassification. Regulatory pathways for AI diagnostic tools in child mental health are still evolving in most countries. Future research must engage with these challenges proactively, involving ethicists, clinicians, parents, and patient advocacy groups in the design and evaluation of AI systems.

Integration into clinical workflows. Even technically excellent AI tools will fail to have real-world impact if they cannot be realistically integrated into the workflows of pediatric clinicians and child psychologists. Future development should prioritize usability, interoperability with existing clinical systems, and the design of human-AI collaboration interfaces that support rather than replace clinical judgment.

CONCLUSION

This review has examined the current state of artificial intelligence–based multimodal approaches to ADHD diagnosis, with a particular focus on machine learning and deep learning methods applied to pediatric populations. The evidence gathered indicates that AI has significant potential to improve the accuracy, objectivity, and timeliness of ADHD diagnosis. Models based on Random Forests, Support Vector Machines, Convolutional Neural Networks, and LSTM architectures have demonstrated strong performance across a range of data modalities, including EEG signals, neuroimaging data, and behavioral measures. Multimodal approaches, which combine two or more of these data sources, consistently outperform single-modality models and more closely reflect the clinical complexity of ADHD.

At the same time, this review has identified several important limitations in the existing literature. Most studies are based on small and demographically limited datasets, rely on a single data modality, use cross-sectional designs that are ill-suited for early detection, and produce black-box models whose clinical interpretability remains insufficient. The integration of Explainable AI methods such as SHAP, Grad-CAM, and LIME is a promising direction, but it requires more systematic and clinician-centered evaluation.

Looking ahead, progress in this field will depend on the development of large-scale longitudinal pediatric datasets, the adoption of multimodal and federated learning frameworks, and a genuine commitment to building AI tools that are not only accurate but also interpretable, ethical, and clinically usable. ADHD continues to affect millions of children worldwide, and the potential for AI to support earlier and more equitable diagnosis is real. Realizing that potential, however, will require close collaboration between AI researchers, clinicians, neuroscientists, ethicists, and the families of children with ADHD.

REFERENCES

1. Field, T. (2024). Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Children. *Journal of Psychiatry and Psychiatric Disorders*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.46889/JPAR.2024.3201>
2. State Statistical Committee of the Republic of Azerbaijan. Healthcare Statistics. <https://www.stat.gov.az/source/healthcare/>



3. Maniruzzaman, M., Shin, J., & Hasan, M.A. M. (2022). Predicting Children with ADHD Using Behavioral Activity: A Machine Learning Analysis. *Applied Sciences*, 12(5), 2737. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app12052737>
4. Ghasemi, E., Ebrahimi, M., & Ebrahimie, E. (2022). Machine learning models effectively distinguish attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder using event-related potentials. *Cognitive Neurodynamics*, 16, 1335–1349.
5. Froli, A., Saviano, E., Ricci, M.C., Sica, S.L., & Romano, M. (2023). Artificial Intelligence in ADHD treatment: a brief narrative review. *DILeND*, 1–10.
6. Rohini, B.R., & Shoaib, K. (2024). A review on machine learning approaches in diagnosis of ADHD based on big data. *Big Data Computing*, 281–297.
7. Polanczyk, G.V., Salum, G.A., Sugaya, L.S., Caye, A., & Rohde, L.A. (2015). Annual research review: A meta-analysis of the worldwide prevalence of mental disorders in children and adolescents. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 56(3), 345–365.
8. Barkley, R.A. (2015). *Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Handbook for Diagnosis and Treatment* (4th ed.). Guilford Press.
9. Antshel, K.M., & Russo, N. (2019). Autism Spectrum Disorders and ADHD: Overlapping Phenomenology, Diagnostic Issues, and Treatment Considerations. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 21(5), 34.
10. ADHD-200 Consortium. (2012). The ADHD-200 Consortium: A model to advance the translational potential of neuroimaging in clinical neuroscience. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience*, 6, 62.
11. Rudin, C. (2019). Stop explaining black box machine learning models for high stakes decisions and use interpretable models instead. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 1(5), 206–215.
12. Shaw, P., Stringaris, A., Nigg, J., & Leibenluft, E. (2014). Emotion dysregulation in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 171(3), 276–293.
13. Lundberg, S.M., & Lee, S.I. (2017). A unified approach to interpreting model predictions. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 30.
14. Selvaraju, R.R., Cogswell, M., Das, A., Vedantam, R., Parikh, D., & Batra, D. (2017). Grad-CAM: Visual explanations from deep networks via gradient-based localization. *Proceedings of the IEEE ICCV*, 618–626.
15. Cortese, S., Aoki, Y.Y., Itahashi, T., Castellanos, F.X., & Eickhoff, S.B. (2021). Toward systems neuroscience of ADHD: A meta-analysis of 126 resting-state fMRI studies. *Biological Psychiatry*, 89(2), 194–204.
16. Wolraich, M.L., et al. (2019). Clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of ADHD in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics*, 144(4), e20192528.
17. Sayal, K., Prasad, V., Daley, D., Ford, T., & Coghill, D. (2018). ADHD in children and young people: Prevalence, care pathways, and service provision. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 5(2), 175–186.

**MAŞIN ÖYRƏNMƏSİ VƏ DƏRİN ÖYRƏNMƏDƏN İSTİFADƏ EDİLƏN SÜNİ
ZƏKA ƏSASLI MULTİMODAL DDHP DİAQNOZU**

**Zaman Kərimov Mehman
Azərbaycan Texniki Universiteti**



XÜLASƏ

Diqqət Defisiti/Hiperaktivlik Pozuntusu (DDHP) uşaqlar və yeniyetmələr arasında ən çox yayılmış neyroinkşaf pozuntularından biridir, lakin dəqiq və erkən diaqnoz qoymaq hələ də çətinidir. Ənənəvi diaqnostik metodlar, çox vaxt subyektiv və gecikməyə meylli olan davranış müşahidələrinə, klinik müsahibələrə və valideynlər və müəllimlər tərəfindən doldurulan qiymətləndirmə şkalalarına əsaslanır. Son illərdə süni intellekt - xüsusən də maşın öyrənməsi və dərin öyrənmə - daha obyektiv və vaxtında DDHP diaqnozunu dəstəkləmək üçün perspektivli bir yanaşma kimi ortaya çıxmışdır. Bu icmalda neyrogörüntüləmə, EEG siqnailləri, davranış qiymətləndirmələri və koqnitiv testlərdən əldə edilən məlumatları birləşdirən süni intellekt əsaslı multimodal metodların uşaqlarda DDHP simptomlarını aşkar etmək üçün necə tətbiq olunduğu araşdırılır. Tapıntılar göstərir ki, multimodal yanaşmalar ümumiyyətlə tək modalıq modellərindən daha yaxşı nəticə göstərir və Random Forest, Convolutional Neyron Networks və LSTM şəbəkələri kimi metodlar güclü təsnifat nəticələri göstərir. Bununla belə, kiçik və balanssız məlumat dəstləri, məhdud model şərhli və kiçik uşaqları hədəf alan uzunmüddətli tədqiqatların olmaması da daxil olmaqla əhəmiyyətli çətinliklər qalmaqdadır. Bu icmalda bu boşluqlar müəyyən edilir və klinik cəhətdən etibarlı və izah edilə bilən süni intellekt diaqnostikası vasitələrinin hazırlanmasına yönəlmiş gələcək tədqiqatlar üçün istiqamətlər müzakirə olunur.

Açar sözlər: DDHD, süni intellekt, maşın öyrənməsi, dərin öyrənmə, multimodal diaqnoz, uşaqlar, erkən aşkarlama, neyrogörüntüləmə, EEG.

ИСКУССТВЕННЫЙ ИНТЕЛЛЕКТ В МУЛЬТИМОДАЛЬНОЙ ДИАГНОСТИКЕ СДВГ С ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЕМ МАШИННОГО И ГЛУБОКОГО ОБУЧЕНИЯ

Заман Керимов Мехман

Азербайджанский технический университет

РЕЗЮМЕ

Синдром дефицита внимания и гиперактивности (СДВГ) является одним из наиболее распространенных нейроонтогенетических расстройств среди детей и подростков, однако его точная и ранняя диагностика по-прежнему сопряжена с трудностями. Традиционные методы диагностики в значительной степени опираются на наблюдение за поведением, клинические интервью и оценочные шкалы, заполняемые родителями и учителями, что часто бывает субъективным и приводит к задержкам в постановке диагноза. В последние годы искусственный интеллект — в частности, машинное и глубокое обучение — стал перспективным подходом для обеспечения более объективной и своевременной диагностики СДВГ.

В данном обзоре рассматривается, как мультимодальные методы на базе ИИ, объединяющие данные нейровизуализации, сигналов ЭЭГ, поведенческих оценок и когнитивных тестов, применяются для выявления симптомов СДВГ у детей. Результаты показывают, что мультимодальные подходы в целом превосходят одномодальные модели, при этом такие методы, как случайный лес (Random Forest), сверточные нейронные сети (CNN) и сети LSTM, демонстрируют высокие результаты классификации.

Однако сохраняются серьезные проблемы, включая малый объем и несбалансированность наборов данных, ограниченную интерпретируемость моделей и нехватку лонгитюдных исследований, ориентированных на детей раннего возраста. В



обзоре выявляются эти пробелы и обсуждаются направления будущих исследований, направленных на разработку клинически надежных и объяснимых диагностических инструментов ИИ.

Ключевые слова: СДВГ, искусственный интеллект, машинное обучение, глубокое обучение, мультимодальная диагностика, дети, раннее выявление, нейровизуализация, ЭЭГ

Redaksiyaya daxilolma: 27.05.2026

Çapa qəbul olunma: 30.06.2026

