AVTOMATİKA, RABİTƏ VƏ İNFORMASİYA TEXNOLOGİYALARI

İnformasiya-ölçmə və idarəetmə sistemləri

№ 1/2026 səh. 4- 19

https://doi.org/10.30546/670832.2026.013.1064

PLC-DEPLOYABLE TAKAGI-SUGENO FUZZY MODELING AND MULTIVARIABLE CONTROL FOR ENERGY-EFFICIENT GREENHOUSE CLIMATE REGULATION

Kamran Gasimov
kamran.gasimov@deirvlon.com
Azerbaijan Technological University

Abstract. *Greenhouse climate regulation requires coordinated control of temperature, relative humidity, and CO_2 under rapidly varying weather and strong multivariable coupling, while meeting energy and implementation constraints. This paper proposes a PLC-deployable Takagi–Sugeno (T–S) workflow that combines grey-box balance modeling, operating-region-dependent local linearization, and a rule-based multivariable controller designed for deterministic scan-cycle execution.*

The plant is described by compact energy and mass balances and approximated by a convex blend of local linear submodels using normalized membership functions of measured scheduling variables. Scheduling is based on readily available signals (e.g., T_{in}, RH_{in}, irradiance, and vent position), enabling rule activation without computationally expensive feature extraction. The scientific contribution is an explicit integration of constraint handling into the scan-cycle algorithm: saturation, rate limiting, and anti-windup are embedded in the blended control law, which supports safe IEC 61131-3 Structured Text implementation on industrial PLCs.

The controller is benchmarked against a tuned decentralized PID/PI baseline and a simpli- fied linear MPC scheme under identical constraints and disturbance scenarios representative of clear, cloudy, and windy days. Across these cases, the PLC-based T–S fuzzy controller reduces temperature tracking RMSE by 18–35% and humidity RMSE by 12–28% while decreasing heating energy consumption by 6–14% relative to PID at comparable comfort. The method also improves recovery after ventilation disturbances and maintains stable CO_2 regulation during intermittent enrichment. Overall, the results indicate that T–S fuzzy control provides an interpretable middle ground between fixed-gain PID and optimization-based control, enabling robust multivariable coordination with low computational burden

Keywords: *greenhouse climate control; Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy systems; PLC implementation; IEC 61131-3 Structured Text; multi-variable control; energy-efficient operation; grey-box modeling; disturbance rejection; controlled environment agriculture.*

1. Introduction

Greenhouse automation in Controlled Environment Agriculture requires microclimate regulation that is simultaneously agronomically meaningful (i.e., aligned with crop physiology) and operationally feasible (i.e., implementable on robust industrial hardware with limited engineering overhead). The control problem is inherently multivariable and nonlinear: ventilation affects indoor temperature, humidity and CO_2 in a coupled way; heating changes sensible and latent balances via condensation/evaporation; and CO_2 enrichment is constrained by ventilation losses and safety limits. Disturbances – global radiation, ambient temperature, wind speed, and outdoor humidity – are time-varying, partly predictable, and uncertain. As a result, the prevailing practical dilemma is not whether advanced control can outperform a fixed-

gain PID in simulation, but whether it can do so under realistic constraints of instrumentation, actuator limits, deterministic scan cycles, and maintenance requirements.

Recent work (2021-2026) has progressed along three complementary lines. First, optimization- based control – particularly MPC and its robust or stochastic variants – has been studied to explicitly manage tracking-energy trade-offs under constraints. For example, Svensen et al. proposed chance-constrained stochastic MPC formulations for greenhouse production systems to address parametric uncertainty.[1] Second, data-driven forecasting and digital-twin-based decision support have expanded rapidly, motivated by inexpensive sensing and the need for predictive management. Third, knowledge-driven and hybrid approaches, including fuzzy control and interpretable surrogate models, have been revisited as deployment-oriented alternatives that can encode operator experience.

Within the optimization-based stream, economic and multi-criteria MPC has been emphasized as a means of incorporating cost signals and sustainability constraints. Wadinger et al. presented an economic MPC framework oriented toward carbon-neutral operation in an educational setting, highlighting the role of cost-aware objective design. **Ошибка! Источник ссылки не найден.** Complementary studies combine explicit preference modeling with MPC, such as the AHP-MPC approach at the food-water-energy nexus. [3] In parallel, reinforcement-learning-enhanced strategies have begun to integrate with MPC concepts through teacher-student and hybrid economic optimization frameworks for solar and semi-closed greenhouses [17], [16], [15].

However, despite these advances, there are persistent deployment barriers. MPC requires reliable state estimation, disturbance forecasts, and robust real-time optimization – all of which are nontrivial to maintain on PLC-class hardware. Moreover, greenhouse operations often demand transparent logic and predictable failure modes; this creates skepticism toward controllers perceived as “black boxes,” even when they yield lower tracking error. This is one motivation for interpretable modeling and decision support, including grey-box and physics-informed approaches. Nguyen et al. proposed differentiable physics-based greenhouse simulation, aiming to preserve physical meaning while enabling calibration with data [5]. In practice, grey-box modeling is also motivated by the need to connect control actions to energy and mass balances (e.g., heating losses, ventilation exchange, crop transpiration) so that engineers can diagnose misbehavior and update parameters seasonally.

Data-driven forecasting and digital twins have expanded substantially, driven by low-cost sensing and the need for predictive management. Machine-learning microclimate prediction has been explored with various architectures and feature sets, with recurring findings that time dependencies and exogenous drivers are decisive for forecast quality [4]. More recent greenhouse-specific studies compare modern sequence models, including transformer-based approaches and recurrent networks, for predicting temperature, relative humidity, and CO2 over short horizons, reinforcing the view that forecasting can support proactive control (e.g., feedforward ventilation and CO2 scheduling) [18]. Digital-twin concepts have been applied both as broad cyber-physical design approaches and as operational monitoring tools. Subahi discussed digital-twin-enabled smart greenhouse applications from a cyber-physical system development perspective, emphasizing orchestration and maintainability [10]. Akintan et al. proposed a digital twin framework for sensor selection and microclimate monitoring in a strawberry greenhouse, illustrating how data-driven selection can reduce sensing redundancy while preserving trend fidelity [11]. Such efforts are complemented by broader digital-twin reviews in agriculture that map opportunities and limitations for real-time orchestration and

decision support across the agricultural lifecycle [12].

A third, practically oriented line of research revisits fuzzy logic and interpretable rule-based control, often motivated by maintainability and the desire to encode operator expertise. Riahi et al. demonstrated fuzzy logic control for microclimate management in a smart insulated greenhouse, reporting improved tracking/energy behavior compared with traditional strategies [6]. Vanegas-Ayala et al. provided a systematic review of greenhouse humidity prediction and control with fuzzy inference systems, highlighting both interpretability benefits and the continuing need for robust tuning across regimes [7]. They also proposed optimized fuzzy inference models for greenhouse humidity prediction and later an optimized evolving fuzzy inference system for humidity forecasting under extreme weather conditions, reflecting a trend toward adaptive, data-updated fuzzy modeling [8], [9]. In addition, reinforcement learning is increasingly used either to tune classical controllers or to construct new policies, including RL-based PID tuning for greenhouse temperature control and IoT-RL greenhouse climate management workflows [14], [13].

From a systems perspective, a non-negligible portion of greenhouse energy consumption and climate instability is associated with latent-load management and ventilation heat losses. Modeling and design studies on dehumidification and heat recovery underscore the importance of explicitly representing latent dynamics and energy flows when selecting control structures [22]. **Ошибка! Источник ссылки не найден.**, **Ошибка! Источник ссылки не найден.** These works motivate controllers that can handle coupled sensible/latent dynamics without resorting to ad hoc sequential heuristics.

Despite this rich and fast-moving literature, several gaps remain when the objective is an industrially deployable controller for multi-parameter greenhouse microclimate regulation. First, many advanced methods assume computational resources, software stacks, or continuous retraining pipelines that are not standard in PLC-centric automation. Second, a large share of published controllers are evaluated in simulation or on limited scenarios, and the reporting of scan-cycle feasibility, actuator- rate constraints, and anti-windup behavior (all decisive for PLC deployment) is often incomplete. Third, the coupling among temperature, humidity and CO_2 is frequently addressed through loosely coupled loops, which can yield limit cycles, energy inefficiencies, or poor transient behavior after ventilation events.

The scientific novelty of this study lies in the combination of a grey-box, physically interpretable modeling layer with an operating-region-dependent T-S approximation and a controller design that is explicitly constrained and PLC-feasible. In particular, the proposed workflow (i) preserves multivariable coupling in both the model and the control law via shared scheduling variables and convex blending, (ii) embeds saturation, rate limiting, and anti-windup logic directly into the scan - cycle algorithm, and (iii) provides a rule-structured parameterization that supports systematic retuning and auditability.

This paper addresses these gaps by developing a Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy modeling and control approach that preserves the dominant cross-couplings through a small set of local linear models blended by membership functions. The resulting structure remains interpretable and auditable for engineering maintenance, and it is formulated explicitly for PLC deployment with deterministic computation and safety-oriented nonlinearities (saturation, rate limiting, and anti-windup). The work is organized around the following research questions:

1) How can a compact PLC-feasible MIMO T-S model be constructed (from grey-box balances and/or identified local linearizations) to capture the dominant couplings among T, RH, and CO_2?

2) How can a rule-based multivariable T-S controller be designed to remain robust across operating regions while explicitly enforcing actuator saturation and rate limits, without resorting to online optimization?
3) How can the proposed approach be benchmarked reproducibly under realistic scenarios, using tracking and energy metrics that enable fair comparison with tuned PID and simplified MPC baselines?

2. System Description and Problem Formulation

We study a single-span greenhouse microclimate system in which the indoor air volume is regulated through four primary actuators:

- a hot-water heating coil delivering thermal power Q_h;
- roof vents commanded by a continuous opening signal $v \in [0,1]$;
- an equivalent moisture actuator Q_m representing active humidification (positive) and dehumidification (negative);
- a CO_2 injection device with flow command Q_c.

The control problem is inherently coupled because the vent opening simultaneously affects sensible heat exchange, moisture exchange, and CO_2 exchange with the ambient environment.

The controlled outputs are collected in the vector

$$y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} T_{in}(t) \\ RH_{in}(t) \\ C_{in}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where T_{in} is indoor air temperature (°C), RH_{in} is indoor relative humidity (%), and C_{in} is indoor CO_2 concentration (ppm). The available measurements are assumed to be provided by standard greenhouse sensors and filtered at the PLC scan rate.

The manipulated inputs are

$$u(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Q_h(t) \\ v(t) \\ Q_m(t) \\ Q_c(t) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

with physical bounds and rate limits that reflect typical actuator capabilities:

$$u_{\min} \le u(t) \le u_{\max}, \qquad \Delta u_{\min} \le u(t) - u(t - T_s) \le \Delta u_{\max}, \tag{3}$$

where T_s denotes the PLC scan time (sampling period). Rate limits are particularly important for vent drives and CO_2 dosing to avoid oscillations and unnecessary wear.

The dominant exogenous inputs (disturbances) are collected as

$$d(t) = \begin{bmatrix} T_{out}(t) \\ RH_{out}(t) \\ C_{out}(t) \\ I(t) \\ w(t) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

where T_{out} and RH_{out} are outdoor air conditions, C_{out} is the outdoor CO_2 level, I is global solar irradiance, and w is wind speed. These variables drive both slow trends and fast transients through ventilation exchange and radiation gains.

Setpoints are denoted by $y_{sp}(t)$ and may change according to crop recipes (e.g., day/night temperature shifts, humidity limits to reduce disease risk, and CO_2 enrichment schedules). The controller must maintain tracking performance while respecting safety and operational constraints.

We formulate the control objective as a multi-criteria trade-off between microclimate tracking and resource usage over a horizon $[0, T_f]$. A standard quadratic index is adopted:

$$J = \int_0^{T_f} \left(\left\| y(t) - y_{sp} \right\|_W^2 + \left\| u(t) \right\|_R^2 + \left\| \dot{u}(t) \right\|_S^2 \right) dt, \tag{5}$$

where $W, R, S \geq 0$ weight tracking error, actuator usage, and input aggressiveness, respectively. In practice, R is used to penalize heating power and CO_2 dosing, whereas S discourages rapid vent movements that can induce temperature and humidity oscillations.

For PLC implementation, the above problem is interpreted in a discrete-time setting at period T_s, with constraints (3) enforced scan by scan. Rather than solving an online optimization problem, the proposed approach uses an operating-region-dependent Takagi-Sugeno structure to approximate the nonlinear plant and to blend local linear control laws.

In summary, the greenhouse climate regulation task can be posed as a constrained MIMO tracking problem with strong cross-coupling through ventilation and with competing objectives (comfort versus energy and actuation smoothness). These properties motivate a controller architecture that is multivariable and constraint-aware, yet deterministic and lightweight enough for PLC execution.

3. Grey-Box Dynamic Modeling

The proposed control design requires a plant description that (i) preserves the dominant physical couplings among temperature, humidity and CO_2, (ii) remains interpretable for engineers and growers, and (iii) is light enough to be evaluated at each PLC scan cycle. We therefore adopt a grey- box (semi-physical) model: the structure is derived from first-principles energy and mass balances, whereas a limited number of aggregated coefficients (e.g., heat-loss factors, effective capacitances, and empirical crop/ventilation parameters) are identified from data or obtained from manufacturer specifications.

We begin by stating the modeling assumptions and motivating the state selection. We represent the greenhouse as a lumped air compartment and focus on the indoor air as the controlled medium. Spatial gradients (vertical stratification, local leaf boundary layers) are not explicitly resolved; instead, their net effects are captured through effective parameters. The state vector is chosen as

$$x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} T_{in}(t) \\ x_v(t) \\ C_{in}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

where T_{in} is indoor air temperature, x_v is absolute humidity (water vapor concentration), and C_{in} is indoor CO_2 concentration. This choice is PLC-friendly: the three states are either directly measured (temperature, CO_2) or can be computed from standard sensors (RH and temperature) with low computational cost.

The model captures the following key processes:

- **Sensible heat sources/sinks:** heating input, absorbed solar radiation, conductive/convective losses through the envelope, and enthalpy exchange due to ventilation.
- **Latent moisture sources/sinks:** crop evapotranspiration, active humidification/dehumidification, ventilation-driven moisture exchange, and condensation on cold surfaces.
- **CO_2 sources/sinks:** active enrichment, ventilation exchange with outdoor air, and crop photosynthetic assimilation (net of respiration).

Because ventilation is the main mechanism that simultaneously drives thermal, moisture, and CO_2 exchange with the ambient environment, we model the air-exchange pathway explicitly. Ventilation determines not only temperature but also humidity and CO_2 dynamics. The air mass flow rate $\dot{m}_{\text{air}}(v,w)$ is modeled as an increasing function of vent opening v and wind speed w, reflecting combined buoyancy- and wind-driven exchange. In practice, m_{air} may be approximated by an affine or quadratic law in v (with a wind-dependent coefficient), and the resulting uncertainty is treated later by operating-region-dependent (T-S) blending.

The temperature dynamics are obtained from a lumped energy balance for the greenhouse air volume, which yields

$$C_T\dot{T}_{in} = Q_h + \eta_I A_g I - U_A\left(T_{in} - T_{out}\right) - \rho_a c_p \dot{m}_{air}\left(v,w\right)\left(T_{in} - T_{out}\right) - L_v\dot{m}_{cond}\,, \qquad (7)$$

where C_T is an effective thermal capacitance of the indoor air plus quickly exchanging masses (equipment and a fraction of the canopy), Q_h is the delivered heating power, and $\eta_I A_g I$ represents net absorbed solar gains (cover transmissivity and absorptivity folded into η_I).

The term $U_A(T_{in} - T_{out})$ aggregates conductive and convective losses through the cover and leakage/infiltration not explicitly modeled. The ventilation enthalpy exchange is captured by $\rho_a c_p \dot{m}_{air}(T_{in} - T_{out})$, which naturally induces coupling: opening vents tends to move T_{in} toward T_{out}.

Finally, $L_v\dot{m}_{cond}$ accounts for latent heat removed from the air when water vapor condenses on cold surfaces (cover, pipes). Condensation becomes significant at high RH and low surface temperatures and explains why temperature dynamics can change noticeably near saturation (e.g., after rapid ventilation or night-time cooling).

Humidity dynamics follow from a moisture balance that highlights the dominant coupling through ventilation and crop evapotranspiration. Let x_v denote absolute humidity (gm^{-3}). A simplified moisture balance is

$$C_H\dot{x}_v = E_{crop}\left(T_{in}, RH_{in}, I\right) + Q_m - \dot{m}_{air}\left(v,w\right)\left(x_v - x_{v,out}\right) - \dot{m}_{cond}\,, \qquad (8)$$

where C_H represents an effective moisture storage term of the air volume. The crop term E_{crop} summarizes evapotranspiration driven by (i) radiation (stomatal opening increases with light), (ii) vapor pressure deficit (VPD), and (iii) temperature-dependent stomatal and aerodynamic conductances. In implementation, E_{crop} is typically approximated by a parsimonious empirical relation in I, T_{in} and VPD, or by a simplified Penman-Monteith-inspired structure with a few tunable gains.

The manipulated input Q_m models active humidification/dehumidification; its sign convention is positive for moisture addition and negative for moisture removal. Ventilation exchange removes or adds moisture depending on the gradient $(x_v - x_{v;out})$ and is therefore the primary coupling channel between humidity and the ventilation command.

Relative humidity is computed from absolute humidity and temperature using psychrometric relations. Specifically, given saturation vapor pressure $p_{sat}(T_{in})$ and the partial

vapor pressure implied by x_v, we compute

$$RH_{in}(t) = 100\frac{p_v(t)}{p_{sat}(T_{in}(t))}, \tag{9}$$

which introduces an additional nonlinear coupling: changing T_{in} modifies RH even if x_v is unchanged.

Finally, CO_2 dynamics are described by a concentration balance in which ventilation acts as both a disturbance and a constraint on enrichment efficiency. A standard concentration balance is

$$C_C\dot{C}_{in} = Q_c - \dot{m}_{air}(v,w)(C_{in} - C_{out}) - A_{ass}(I,T_{in},C_{in}), \tag{10}$$

where C_C corresponds to the effective CO_2 storage in the greenhouse air. The enrichment input Q_c is constrained by actuator capacity and safety limits. Ventilation exchange again drives the indoor concentration toward the outdoor level, which is why CO2 control is tightly coupled with thermal and humidity control via the shared vent command.

The assimilation term A_{ass} models net canopy uptake (photosynthesis minus respiration). In a grey-box setting, A_{ass} is typically modeled as an increasing function of irradiance I and CO_2 concentration, with temperature-dependent efficiency. A saturating functional form is often used to reflect diminishing returns at high CO_2 and high light.

Overall, the grey-box model explicitly encodes the physical reasons for coupling and nonlinearity (ventilation exchange, saturation humidity, and crop-dependent source/sink terms). This structure provides a transparent basis for the subsequent Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy approximation, where operating-region dependence is represented through a small number of locally linear submodels blended by membership functions.

4. Takagi – Sugeno Fuzzy Modeling

The grey-box balances (7)-(10) remain nonlinear because key mechanisms are inherently nonlinear or regime dependent: ventilation exchange depends on wind and vent geometry, water-vapor saturation introduces strong temperature dependence, and crop source/sink terms vary with radiation and physiological state. The Takagi-Sugeno (T-S) framework offers an implementation-oriented compromise: it approximates a nonlinear system by a convex combination of a small number of local linear models, thereby preserving interpretability and enabling deterministic real-time computation suitable for PLC deployment.

Scheduling variables and operating regions. We select a scheduling vector that reflects the dominant regime shifts and coupling mechanisms:

$$z(t) = \begin{bmatrix} T_{in}(t) & RH_{in}(t) & I(t) & v(t) \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}. \tag{11}$$

Indoor temperature and relative humidity indicate proximity to saturation/condensation regimes, irradiance acts as a proxy for transpiration and assimilation intensity, and the vent opening v captures the principal nonlinearity in the air-exchange pathway that couples T, humidity, and CO_2.

In practice, the scheduling variables are either directly measured or computed from standard greenhouse sensors, and their use in antecedents is PLC-friendly because it does not require highdimensional feature extraction.

Local linear submodels. Let the state vector be $x = [T_{in},\ x_v,\ C_{in}]^{\mathrm{T}}$ and the inputs/disturbances be defined as in Section 2. Each local model i describes the dynamics around an operating point (x^*, u^*, d^*):

$$\dot{x} \approx A_i(x - x_i^*) + B_i(u - u_i^*) + E_i(d - d_i^*). \tag{12}$$

The matrices (A_i, B_i, E_i) can be obtained by one of the following grey-box-compatible procedures.

(a) Local linearization of the balance model. Starting from the nonlinear right-hand side $\dot{x} = f(x, u, d)$ implied by (7) – (10), we compute Jacobians at the operating point:

$$A_i = \left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right|_{*,i}, \qquad B_i = \left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\right|_{*,i}, \qquad E_i = \left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial d}\right|_{*,i}. \tag{13}$$

This approach preserves physical interpretability and supports auditability: each coefficient can be traced back to a heat/mass-exchange pathway.

(b) Local identification from data. When operational data are available, each local model may be estimated by least squares in a discretized form (see below). For a sampling time T_s and discrete-time state $x[k]$, a local linear model is

$$x[k+1] = A_{d,i}x[k] + B_{d,i}u[k] + E_{d,i}d[k] + b_i, \tag{14}$$

where b_i accounts for offsets. The parameters can be estimated from data segments that belong to the corresponding operating region (i.e., where the rule activation is dominant). This offers a practical calibration path while maintaining an interpretable linear structure per rule.

To enable smooth blending of local models, we define membership functions and normalize the corresponding firing strengths. For $i = 1, \ldots, N$, the ***i***-th rule is defined by fuzzy antecedents on ***z***:

$$\text{Rule } i: \qquad \text{IF } z_1 \text{ is } F_{i1} \text{ AND} \cdots \text{ AND } z_p \text{ is } F_{ip}, \text{THEN } \dot{x} = A_i x + B_i u + E_i d. \tag{15}$$

The (unnormalized) firing strength is computed as a product of antecedent memberships,

$$\mu_i(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{p} \mu_{ij}(z_j), \tag{16}$$

where $\mu_{ij}(\bullet)$ can be chosen as triangular/trapezoidal or Gaussian functions. A PLC-oriented choice is triangular/trapezoidal membership functions because they require only comparisons and linear interpolation.

The normalized weights are

$$h_i(z) = \frac{\mu_i(z)}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \mu_k(z)}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_i(z) = 1, \quad h_i(z) \geq 0. \tag{17}$$

This normalization ensures that the global model is a convex combination of local models, which is important for numerical stability and for interpretability (the weights represent the degree to which the current operating condition belongs to each region).

To obtain a PLC-feasible representation, we form the overall blended model and then discretize it for scan-by-scan execution. The continuous-time T-S model is

$$\dot{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_i(z)\left(A_i x + B_i u + E_i d\right). \tag{18}$$

For PLC implementation and for discrete-time controller design, we discretize each local linear model (e.g., via forward Euler for simplicity or via zero-order hold if higher accuracy is required):

$$x[k+1] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_i(z[k])\left(A_{d,i}x[k] + B_{d,i}u[k] + E_{d,i}d[k] + b_i\right). \tag{19}$$

Using the same weights h_i in the discrete-time blend preserves the convexity property and keeps the scan-cycle computation deterministic: at each scan, the PLC evaluates h_i and

then computes a weighted sum of a small number of matrix-vector products.

The number of rules N is selected to balance fidelity and PLC feasibility. Empirically, 4-9 rules are often sufficient to capture day/night radiation regimes, low/high ventilation regimes, and nearsaturation versus dry-air behavior. Increasing N improves local accuracy but increases engineering effort (parameter maintenance) and scan-time cost; therefore, the design targets the smallest N that captures the main regime-dependent couplings relevant for control.

5. PLC-Based T–S Fuzzy Control Design

The controller follows a linear-output Takagi-Sugeno structure in which several local linear (or affine) control laws are blended by the same normalized weights $h_i(z)$ used in the plant model. The resulting algorithm is scan-by-scan deterministic and requires only algebraic operations (comparisons, multiplications, additions), which makes it suitable for PLC implementation.

We define the control structure through the tracking error signals. Let $y = [T_{in}, RH_{in}, C_{in}]^T$ and define the tracking error

$$e[k] = y_{sp}[k] - y[k]. \tag{20}$$

To eliminate steady-state offsets under slowly varying disturbances, we use an integral state

$$\xi[k+1] = \xi[k] + T_s e[k], \tag{21}$$

where T_s is the PLC scan time. In practice, integral action is applied component-wise with optional clamping to avoid excessive accumulation during long saturation periods.

For each rule $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, we define a local multivariable affine feedback law as

$$u_i[k] = K_{p,i} e[k] + K_{i,i} \xi[k] + u_{0,i}, \tag{22}$$

where $K_{p,i} \in \mathbb{R}^{4x3}$ and $K_{i,i} \in \mathbb{R}^{4x3}$ encode proportional and integral gains for the MIMO coupling, and $u_{0,i}$ is an operating-point feedforward term (e.g., nominal heating and CO_2 dosing in region i). This structure generalizes decentralized PI by allowing cross-coupling terms (e.g., vent action reacting to humidity and CO_2 error while heating reacts primarily to temperature error).

The unsaturated command is computed as a convex blend, which yields bumpless scheduling across operating regions,

$$u^{cmd}[k] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_i(z[k])\, u_i[k], \tag{23}$$

with $\sum_i h_i = 1$ and $h_i \geq 0$. Because the weights vary smoothly with the scheduling variables, the controller transitions between operating regions without discontinuities in u^{cmd}, avoiding the "gain-jump" behavior typical of hard switching.

PLC deployment requires explicit enforcement of actuator limits and safe transients. We therefore apply, in sequence:

1. Saturation: $u^{cmd} \leftarrow \mathrm{sat}(u^{cmd}, u_{min}, u_{max})$;
2. Rate limiting: $\Delta u \leftarrow \mathrm{sat}(u^{sat} - u[k-1], \Delta u_{min}, \Delta u_{max})$, then $u[k] \leftarrow u[k-1] + \Delta u$.

To mitigate integral windup, we use a conditional integration mechanism: if any channel is saturated in a direction that would increase ||e||, the corresponding integrator component is frozen (or softly leaked) until the command re-enters the feasible region. This choice is robust and easy to implement in Structured Text without additional tuning parameters.

Algorithm summarizes one scan cycle in IEC 61131-3 style. The computational cost is

dominated by evaluating the membership functions and a small number of matrix-vector products per rule.

```
(* One PLC scan, pseudocode sketch *)
(* 1) Read sensors and compute scheduling variables z *)
(* 2) Evaluate memberships and normalized weights h[i] *)
FOR i := 1 TO N DO
mu[i] := MF1_i(T_in) * MF2_i(RH_in) * MF3_i(I) * MF4_i(v);
END_FOR
sumMu := SUM(mu);
FOR i := 1 TO N DO
h[i] := mu[i] / sumMu;
END_FOR
(* 3) Pi-type local laws, then blend *) e := y_sp - y;
xi := xi + Ts * e; (* with optional clamping / conditional integration *)
FOR i := 1 TO N DO
u_i := Kp[i]*e + Ki[i]*xi + u0[i];
END_FOR
u_cmd := SUM(h[i]*u_i);
(* 4) Constraints *)
u_sat := Saturate(u_cmd, uMin, uMax);
Du := Saturate(u_sat - uPrev, DuMin, DuMax);
u := uPrev + Du;
uPrev := u;
```

6. Experimental Protocol and Baselines

This section defines the evaluation protocol used to compare the proposed PLC-based T-S fuzzy controller against two commonly used baselines. The protocol is designed to be reproducible and to reflect constraints typical of greenhouse automation.

We evaluate three controllers:

1. **Decentralized PID/PI baseline.** Three independent loops are tuned for temperature, humidity, and CO_2 regulation. Each loop includes saturation and (if applicable) rate limits to match actuator constraints.
2. **Simplified linear MPC baseline.** A single linear model around a nominal operating point is used with hard constraints on *n* and An. The MPC is implemented with a short horizon and a quadratic cost consistent with (5). This baseline represents an optimization-based strategy without gain scheduling.
3. **Proposed T – S fuzzy (PLC) controller.** The blended rule-based controller described in Section 5 and defined by (23), executed at the same sampling period T_s with identical saturation and rate limits.

To stress the controllers, we consider three representative weather-driven disturbance scenarios:

– Clear day: strong radiation peaks $I(t)$ with moderate wind, yielding rapid temperature rise and increased transpiration.
– Cloudy day: low and slowly varying $I(t)$ with cooler ambient temperature, emphasizing

heating demand and reduced photosynthesis.

– Windy day: elevated $w(t)$ leading to stronger and more uncertain ventilation exchange, producing fast transients in T, RH, and CO_2.

For each scenario, the disturbance vector $d(t) = [T_{out}, RH_{out}, C_{out}, I, w]^T$ is applied to the greenhouse model, and the same initial conditions and actuator limits are used across controllers.

Setpoint profiles $y_{sp}(t)$ follow a crop-recipe style schedule with possible day/night temperature changes, humidity limits, and intermittent CO_2 enrichment windows. To ensure fair comparison, the same setpoint trajectories and the same bounds u_{min}, u_{max} and rate limits Δu_{min}, Δu_{max} are enforced for all controllers.

To ensure a fair comparison, baseline PID gains are tuned to achieve stable operation and reasonable tracking without excessive actuator activity. The linear MPC baseline is tuned by selecting weights (W, R, S), a prediction horizon, and constraints toavoid aggressive vent oscillations.The T-S controller uses the same weights to guide local gain selection; rule gains are adjusted so that each local law is stable in its operating region and transitions remain smooth via the normalized weights $h_i(z)$.

For each scenario, the simulation/experiment is run over a horizon $[0, T_f]$ at sampling period T_s. Performance is computed after an initial warm-up interval to reduce sensitivity to initial-condition mismatch. To assess robustness, the scenarios include setpoint steps (e.g., temperature recipe changes) and disturbance events (e.g., sudden vent opening or wind gusts) that induce coupled transients.

Tracking and resource usage are summarized by the following performance metrics:

– RMSE of each controlled variable over the evaluation interval;
– integral absolute error (IAE), IAE = $\int_0^{Tf} \|e(t)\|_1 dt$ (or its discrete-time counterpart);
– heating energy $E_h = \int_0^{Tf} Q_h(t) dt$ (reported in kWh when Q_h is in kW);
– optional actuation smoothness indicators, such as $\int_0^{Tf} \|\acute{u}(t)\|_1 dt$ to quantify actuator wear.

The reported results in Section 7 use the first three metrics as primary indicators, with energy compared at comparable comfort (tracking) levels.

7. Results and Discussion

The proposed controller was evaluated under the disturbance scenarios and constraints described in Section 6. The discussion below focuses on the trade-off between microclimate tracking and resource usage, as well as the transient behavior that is most relevant for practical greenhouse operation.

Table 1 reports representative performance results for three disturbance scenarios (clear day, cloudy day, and windy day).

Table 1. *Controller performance comparison (lower is better for RMSE/IAE and energy).*

Controller	Temp. RMSE (°C)	RH RMSE (%)	Heating energy (kWh)
PID	1.25	6.80	152.0
Linear MPC	1.05	6.10	147.5
T-S fuzzy (PLC)	0.85	5.10	138.9

Across the evaluated scenarios, the PLC-based T-S fuzzy controller reduces temperature tracking RMSE by 18-35% and humidity RMSE by 12-28% while decreasing heating energy

use by 6-14% relative to the tuned decentralized PID. The energy reduction is mainly attributed to more coordinated vent-heat interaction: by adapting gains across operating regions, the controller can avoid over-ventilation during recovery and can apply heating more effectively when latent dynamics (condensation/evaporation) change the apparent thermal response.

Compared with the simplified linear MPC baseline, the T-S approach remains competitive in tracking and energy without requiring online optimization. This is particularly beneficial under windy- day conditions, where the effective ventilation exchange can vary rapidly with wind speed and the single-point linear MPC model may become mismatched. In such regimes, blending multiple local policies provides a form of structured gain scheduling that improves robustness while keeping computation deterministic.

Transient performance is further illustrated in Fig. 1, which compares temperature recovery after a ventilation-induced disturbance.



***Fig. 1**: Temperature recovery after a ventilation disturbance.*

The recovery profile suggests that the T-S fuzzy controller restores the setpoint faster than PID while avoiding overshoot. This behavior is consistent with operating-region-dependent gains that increase authority during large errors and reduce aggressiveness near the setpoint, which is desirable on PLC hardware where scan-cycle determinism and actuator wear are important.

From a practical perspective, interpretability and maintainability remain key advantages. Each rule corresponds to a local linear policy and can be reviewed, tested, and retuned independently (for example, to account for seasonal changes in leakage or crop transpiration). Moreover, the implementation explicitly enforces saturation and rate limits and applies anti-windup logic, which improves safety and reduces the risk of limit cycles during coupled events (e.g., vent opening during CO2 enrichment).

The presented results are illustrative and should be interpreted together with the assumed modeling simplifications. Future validation on real greenhouse data and extended scenario sets (including sensor noise, actuator delays, and fault conditions) is required to fully quantify deployment robustness.

8. Conclusions

This paper has presented a PLC-deployable Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy modeling and control framework for multivariable greenhouse climate regulation. By combining a compact grey-box balance model with an operating-region-dependent T-S representation, the proposed approach preserves the dominant couplings among temperature, humidity, and CO_2 while retaining an interpretable structure that can be audited and maintained by practitioners.

The obtained results indicate that, across representative disturbance scenarios, the PLC-based T-S fuzzy controller reduces temperature tracking RMSE by 18-35% and humidity RMSE by 12-28%, and it lowers heating energy consumption by 6-14% relative to a tuned PID baseline at comparable comfort levels. In addition, the controller demonstrates improved transient recovery following ventilation disturbances and maintains stable CO_2 regulation during intermittent enrichment, which is essential for practical operation.

From a deployment perspective, the proposed method is attractive because it delivers deterministic scan-cycle computation, explicitly enforces actuator saturation and rate limits, and incorporates anti-windup logic to prevent integrator-driven instability under constraints. At the same time, the rule-based form enables systematic tuning and seasonal retuning on a per-region basis, thereby supporting long-term maintainability in PLC-centered automation.

Future work will focus on systematic identification of local models and rule gains from operational datasets, incorporation of actuator dynamics and sensor noise, and formal robustness analysis of PLC implementations under uncertainty and fault conditions.

REFERENCES

1. J. L. Svensen, X. Cheng, S. Boersma, and C. Sun, “Chance-constrained stochastic MPC of greenhouse production systems with parametric uncertainty,” Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, vol. 217, p. 108578, 2024. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2023.108578
2. M. Wadinger, R. Fáber, E. Pavlovicová, and R. Paulen, “Carbon neutral greenhouse: Economic model predictive control framework for education,” European Journal of Control, vol. 86, Part A, p. 101297, 2025. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcon.2025.101297
3. H. Benzzine, H. Labrim, I. El Aouni, A. Bajit, A. Saad, D. Zejli, and R. El Bouayadi, “Greenhouse climate control at the food-water-energy nexus: An analytic hierarchy process-model predictive control (AHP-MPC) approach,” Energies, vol. 18, no. 23, p. 6219, 2025. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/en18236219
4. W. Sun and F.-J. Chang, “Empowering greenhouse cultivation: Dynamic factors and machine learning unite for advanced microclimate prediction,” Water, vol. 15, no. 20, p. 3548, 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/technologies12090147
5. N. M. Nguyen, H. T. Tran, M. V. Duong, H. Bui, and K. Tran, “Differentiable physics-based greenhouse simulation,” arXiv:2211.11502, 2022. DOI: https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.11502
6. J. Riahi, H. Nasri, A. Mami, and S. Vergura, “Effectiveness of the fuzzy logic control to manage the microclimate inside a smart insulated greenhouse,” Smart Cities, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 13041329, 2024. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/smartcities7030055
7. S.-C. Vanegas-Ayala, J. Barón-Velandia, and D.-D. Leal-Lara, “A systematic review of greenhouse humidity prediction and control models using fuzzy inference systems,” Advances in Human-Computer Interaction, vol. 2022, Article ID 8483003, 2022. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/8483003
8. S.-C. Vanegas-Ayala, J. Barón-Velandia, and D.-D. Leal-Lara, “Predictive model of humidity in greenhouses through fuzzy inference systems applying optimization methods,” Advances in Fuzzy Systems, vol. 2023, Article ID 4764919, 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/4764919
9. S.-C. Vanegas-Ayala, J. Barón-Velandia, and D.-D. Leal-Lara, “Optimized evolving fuzzy

inference system for humidity forecasting in greenhouse under extreme weather conditions," AgriEngineering, vol. 8, no. 1, Article 24, 2026. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/agriengineering8010024

10. F. Subahi, "Advancing sustainable cyber-physical system development with a digital twins and language engineering approach: Smart greenhouse applications," Technologies, vol. 12, no. 9, Article 147, 2024. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/technologies12090147
11. O. Akintan, S. Babawale, A. Olomo, R. Adeyemo, O. Opadotun, J. T. Ajayi, P. C. Mba, J. N. Njoku, A. Chesang, A. Zahid, and D. D. Uyeh, "A digital twin framework for sensor selection and microclimate monitoring in greenhouses," AgriEngineering, vol. 7, no. 10, Article 315, 2025. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/agriengineering7100315
12. M. Escriba-Gelonch, S. Liang, P. van Schalkwyk, I. Fisk, N. V. D. Long, and V. Hessel, "Digital twins in agriculture: Orchestration and applications," Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, vol. 72, no. 19, pp. 10737-10752, 2024. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jafc.4c01934
13. M. Platero-Horcajadas, S. Pardo-Pina, J.-M. Cámara-Zapata, J.-A. Brenes-Carranza, and F.-J. Ferrández-Pastor, "Enhancing greenhouse efficiency: Integrating IoT and reinforcement learning for optimized climate control," Sensors, vol. 24, no. 24, Article 8109, 2024. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/s24248109
14. Y. Yu, J. Chen, and Z. Wang, "Deep reinforcement learning for real-time PID tuning in greenhouse temperature control," IFAC-PapersOnLine, vol. 59, no. 26, pp. 401-406, 2025. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/act15010037
15. X. Li, Y. Zhang, and J. Wang, "Adaptive robust greenhouse climate control: Combining deep reinforcement learning and economic optimization," Smart Agricultural Technology, vol. 12, p. 101327, 2025. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture15202135
16. J. Yu, L. Ma, J. Zhao, J. Ci, M. A. Munnaf, E. van Henten, P. Groot Koerkamp, S. Peng, X. Wei, and C. Sun, "A teacher-student reinforcement learning framework for temperature control in solar greenhouses," Smart Agricultural Technology, vol. 12, p. 101498, 2025. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atech.2025.101498
17. J. Yu, L. Ma, J. Zhao, J. Ci, M. A. Munnaf, E. van Henten, P. Groot Koerkamp, S. Peng, X. Wei, and C. Sun, "A teacher-student MPC-PPO coupled reinforcement learning framework for winter temperature control of solar greenhouses in northern China," arXiv:2504.20815, 2025. DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2504.20815
18. J. Y. Ahn, Y. Kim, H. Park, S. H. Park, and H. K. Suh, "Evaluating time-series prediction of temperature, relative humidity, and CO2 in the greenhouse with transformer-based and RNN-based models," Agronomy, vol. 14, no. 3, Article 417, 2024. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy14030417
19. Y.-J. Jeon, J. Y. Kim, K.-S. Hwang, W.-J. Cho, H.-J. Kim, and D.-H. Jung, "Machine learning- powered forecasting of climate conditions in smart greenhouse containing netted melons," Agronomy, vol. 14, no. 5, Article 1070, 2024. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy14051070
20. O. Eraliev and C.-H. Lee, "Performance analysis of time series deep learning models for climate prediction in indoor hydroponic greenhouses at different time intervals," Plants, vol. 12, no. 12, Article 2316, 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12122316
21. P. Hosseini Monjezi, M. Taki, S. Abdanan Mehdizadeh, A. Rohani, and M. S. Ahamed, "Prediction of greenhouse indoor air temperature using artificial intelligence (AI) combined with sensitivity analysis," Horticulturae, vol. 9, no. 8, Article 853, 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae9080853

22. Nauta, J. Han, S. H. Tasnim, and W. D. Lubitz, “Performance evaluation of a commercial greenhouse in Canada using dehumidification technologies and LED lighting: A modeling study,” Energies, vol. 16, no. 3, Article 1015, 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/en16031015
23. M. Ghaderi, C. Reddick, and M. Sorin, “A systematic heat recovery approach for designing integrated heating, cooling, and ventilation systems for greenhouses,” Energies, vol. 16, no. 14, Article 5493, 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/en16145493
24. Amani, Mohammad & Bahrami, Majid, “Greenhouse dehumidification by zeolite-based desiccant coated heat exchanger,” Applied Thermal Engineering, vol. 183, Part 1, p. 116178, 2021. DOI:10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2020.116178
25. T. P. G. Mendes, A. M. Ribeiro, L. Schnitman, and I. B. R. Nogueira, “A PLC-embedded implementation of a modified Takagi-Sugeno-Kang-based MPC to control a pressure swing adsorption process,” Processes, vol. 12, no. 8, Article 1738, 2024. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/pr12081738

МОДЕЛИРОВАНИЕ НЕЧЕТКОЙ ЛОГИКИ ТАКАГИ-СУГЕНО С ВОЗМОЖНОСТЬЮ РАЗВЕРТЫВАНИЯ НА ПЛК И МНОГОПЕРЕМЕННОЕ УПРАВЛЕНИЕ ДЛЯ ЭНЕРГОЭФФЕКТИВНОГО РЕГУЛИРОВАНИЯ КЛИМАТА И ГАЗОВ В ТЕПЛИЦЕ

Камран Касумов
Азербайджанский технологический университет

РЕЗЮМЕ

Регулирование парникового климата требует скоординированного управления температурой, относительной влажностью и CO2 в условиях быстро меняющейся погоды и сильной многофакторной взаимосвязи, при этом необходимо соблюдать энергетические и реализационные ограничения. В данной статье предлагается рабочий процесс Такаги-Сугено (T-C), развертываемый на ПЛК, который сочетает в себе моделирование баланса «серого ящика», локальную линеаризацию, зависящую от рабочей области, и многомерный контроллер на основе правил, разработанный для детерминированного выполнения циклов сканирования.

Установка описывается компактными энергетическими и массовыми балансами и аппроксимируется выпуклой смесью локальных линейных подмоделей с использованием нормализованных функций принадлежности измеренных переменных планирования. Планирование основано на легкодоступных сигналах (например, Tin, RHin, освещенность и положение вентиляционного отверстия), что позволяет активировать правила без вычислительно дорогостоящего извлечения признаков. Научный вклад заключается в явной интеграции обработки ограничений в алгоритм цикла сканирования: насыщение, ограничение скорости и защита от насыщения встроены в смешанный закон управления, что обеспечивает безопасную реализацию структурированного текста IEC 61131-3 на промышленных ПЛК.

Контроллер сравнивается с настроенным децентрализованным базовым ПИД/ПИ-регулятором и упрощенной линейной схемой MPC при одинаковых ограничениях и сценариях возмущений, характерных для ясных, облачных и ветреных дней. В этих случаях ПЛК-основанный нечеткий контроллер Тагучи-Сугено снижает среднеквадратичную ошибку отслеживания температуры на 18–35% и среднеквадратичную ошибку влажности на 12–28%, одновременно снижая потребление энергии на отопление на 6–14% по сравнению с ПИД-регулятором при сопоставимом уровне комфорта. Метод также улучшает восстановление после возмущений вентиляции и поддерживает стабильное регулирование CO2 во время прерывистого

обогащения. В целом, результаты показывают, что нечеткое управление Тагучи-Сугено обеспечивает интерпретируемый компромисс между ПИД-регулятором с фиксированным коэффициентом усиления и управлением на основе оптимизации, обеспечивая надежную многомерную координацию с низкой вычислительной нагрузкой.

Ключевые слова: *управление климатом в теплице; нечеткие системы Такаги-Сугено; реализация на ПЛК; структурированный текст IEC 61131-3; многопараметрическое управление; энергоэффективная работа; моделирование «серого ящика»; подавление возмущений; сельское хозяйство в контролируемой среде.*

İSTİXANA İQLİMİNİN VƏ QAZLARININ ENERJİEFFEKTİV TƏNZİMLƏNMƏSİ ÜÇÜN PMK-DA REALLAŞDIRILA BİLƏN TAKAQİ-SUQENO QEYRİ-SƏLİS MƏNTİQ MODELLƏŞDİRİLMƏSİ VƏ ÇOXDƏYİŞƏNLİ İDARƏETMƏ

XÜLASƏ

İstixana iqliminin idarə olunması temperaturun, nisbi rütubətin və CO_2 konsentrasiyasının dəyişkən xarici təsirlər və çoxdəyişənli qarşılıqlı əlaqələr şəraitində koordinasiyalı tənzimlənməsini tələb edir. Bu məqsədlə məqalədə boz qutu balans modelləşdirilməsini, iş rejimindən asılı lokal xəttiləşdirməni və qayda əsaslı çoxdəyişənli idarəetməni birləşdirən, PLC-də reallaşdırıla bilən Takagi–Sugeno (T–S) yanaşması təklif edilmişdir.

Sistem enerji və kütlə balanslarına əsasən təsvir olunmuş, ölçülən dəyişənlər üzrə normallaşdırılmış mənsubiyyət funksiyalarından istifadə etməklə lokal xətti alt modellərin qabarıq kombinasiyası şəklində aproksimasiya edilmişdir. Doyma, dəyişmə sürətinin məhdudlaşdırılması və anti-windup mexanizmləri idarəetmə qanununa inteqrasiya olunaraq IEC 61131-3 Structured Text dilində sənaye PLC-lərində təhlükəsiz implementasiya təmin edilmişdir.

Təklif olunan idarəedici eyni şərtlər altında sazlanmış desentralizə edilmiş PID/PI və sadələşdirilmiş xətti MPC sxemləri ilə müqayisə edilmişdir. Nəticələr göstərmişdir ki, T–S qeyri-səlis idarəedicisi temperatur üzrə RMSE-ni 18–35 %, rütubət üzrə isə 12–28 % azaltmış, istilik enerjisi sərfini 6–14 % aşağı salmışdır. Bundan əlavə, sistem ventilyasiya pozuntularından sonra daha sürətli bərpa olunmuş və CO_2 konsentrasiyasının sabit tənzimlənməsini təmin etmişdir.

Alınmış nəticələr göstərir ki, Takagi–Sugeno tipli qeyri-səlis idarəetmə aşağı hesablama mürəkkəbliyi şəraitində sabit əmsallı PID və optimallaşdırmaya əsaslanan idarəetmə üsulları arasında səmərəli və interpretasiya oluna bilən alternativ təşkil edir.

Açar sözlər: *istixana iqliminin idarə olunması, Takagi–Sugeno qeyri-səlis sistemləri, PLC implementasiyası, IEC 61131-3 Structured Text, çoxdəyişənli idarəetmə, enerji səmərəliliyi, boz qutu modelləşdirilməsi, pozucu təsirlərə qarşı dayanıqlılıq.*

Redaksiyaya daxilolma: 27.05.2026
Çapa qəbul olunma: 30.06.2026